

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/501,202 02/10/2000		02/10/2000	Edward Klein	104141-0004	3531
	24267	7590 , 07/16/2003			
	CESARI AND MCKENNA, LLP			EXAMINER	
	88 BLACK FA BOSTON, MA	ALCON AVENUE A 02210		VOLPER, TI	HOMAS E
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2697	\sim
				DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
_	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comment	09/501,202	KLEIN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
TI MANUNO DATE AND	Thomas Volper	2697					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documer		Kan Na					
							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)					
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Numer: 09/501,202

Art Unit: 2697

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-11, 14-16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higgins et al. (US Pat. 6,370,146) in view of Chan et al. (US Pat. 6,301,254).

Regarding claims 1-3, 6, 7, 14-16 and 19 Higgins discloses a method of non-disruptive addition of a new node to an inter-nodal network. The system includes a master node that is capable of sending control messages to the other, non-master nodes. This master node represents the ring hub node of the present invention. To add a new node, the master node instructs the two neighbor nodes to operate in loopback mode, and the network portion between the neighbor nodes is physically disconnected. This provides a bypass for traffic on the ring while the new node is then physically connected to the ring (col. 3, lines 51-67). The new node receives instructions from the host while the inter-nodal network is configured to include the new node (col. 4, lines 1-13). The master node verifies that the new node is recognizable by the master node, and the new node now may transmit and receive packets to and from the inter-nodal network (col. 4, lines 35-47). Higgins fails to expressly disclose operating the new node, or given node as in the present invention, to pass through virtual paths known to the new node or

Application/Control Number: 09/501,202

Art Unit: 2697

communicating these virtual paths to the other nodes on the network. Higgins also fails to disclose providing the new node connection information for all of the virtual paths and virtual circuits on the ring. Higgins also fails to disclose removing a failed node. Chan discloses a method for protecting virtual paths on a ring network that includes an Intra-Ring Communications (IRC) protocol that includes the function of adding/deleting a node to/from the ring (col. 5, line 66 - col. 6, line 12). When a new ring is added, Look-Up Tables (LUT's) of each node are updated to reflect the new sequential numbering of the nodes in the ring (col. 8, line 67 – col. 9, line 6). The updating of the LUT's is accomplished so that previously configured VPs are able to bypass the newly added node (col. 9, lines 16-19). Chan also discloses a Virtual Path Identifier (VPI) table and a Virtual Circuit Identifier (VCI) table (see Figure 4). These tables are used to make routing decisions at each node. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill to provide the new node with information for all of the virtual paths and virtual circuits on the ring by of the VPI table, VCI table and lookup tables of Chan. It would also have been obvious to communicate the virtual path of the new node to the other nodes in the network by updating their respective tables. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to properly route traffic around the ring in accordance with the updated topology, i.e. addition of a new node.

Regarding claims 8-10, the teaching provided thus far by Higgins et al. (US Pat. 6,370,146) in view of Chan et al. (US Pat. 6,301,254) meets all of the limitations of claim 8-10, except for establishing connections to and from the given node over the assigned virtual path and tearing down connections over the assigned virtual path. Chan discloses

Application/Control Numer: 09/501,202

Art Unit: 2697

an ATM network that makes use of Virtual Path Identifier (VPI) table that contains call setup information (see Figure 4). Also, it is well known in the art to establish connections and tear down connections over virtual paths in an ATM network. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to establish and tear down connections to and from the given node in the system provided by Higgins et al. in view of Chan et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to allow calls to be routed through the network.

Regarding claim 11, the teaching provided thus far by Higgins et al. in view of Chan et al. provides all of the limitations of claim 11, except for shaping traffic over the virtual circuits. It is well known in the art of ATM networks to perform traffic shaping per virtual circuits. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include this feature in the system provided by Higgins et al. in view of Chan et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to allow traffic of different classes to pass through the ring while receiving certain guaranteed levels of service.

3. Claims 4 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higgins et al. (US Pat. 6,370,146) in view of Chan et al. (US Pat. 6,301,254), as applied to claims 1-3, 6-11, 14-16 and 19 above, and further in view of Ballintine et al. (US 6,366,556).

Regarding claims 4 and 17, the teaching of Higgins et al. in view of Chan et al. provides all of the limitations of claims 4 and 17, except for an error checking code.

Ballintine discloses an Incoming Error Code (IEC) in the Path Overhead (POH) on a

Application/Control Nux er: 09/501,202

Art Unit: 2697

SONET ring (col. 9, lines 1-8). Incoming Error Counts (IEC-1 to IEC-4) keep track of parity error counts to identify incoming failures to a virtual ring path segment (col. 9, lines 17-38). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement this error checking feature in the system provided by the teaching of Higgins et al. in view of Chan et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to be sure that the path through the newly inserted node was operating correctly once traffic started to be routed through the new node.

4. Claims 5 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higgins et al. (US Pat. 6,370,146) in view of Chan et al. (US Pat. 6,301,254), as applied to claims 1-3, 6-11, 14-16 and 19 above, and further in view of Nakata (US Pat. 5,500,857).

Regarding claims 5 and 18, the teaching of Higgins et al. in view of Chan et al. provides all of the limitations of claims 5 and 18, except that the given node requests the assignment to the hub node and the hub node responds with the assignment. Nakata discloses a ring with a plurality of nodes, including a control node (see Figure 2). A node may generate a request to a control node. The control node makes an assignment and informs the requesting node (col. 1, line 58 – col. 2, line36). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art for a node to make a request to a control node, or ring hub node as in the present invention, and receive an assignment therefrom. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in the system provided by the teaching of Higgins et al. in

Application/Control Nux Per: 09/501,202

Art Unit: 2697

view of Chan et al. because the master node, or ring hub node, would have information about all of the virtual paths and virtual circuits on the ring and thus be able to make a determination of which assignments may be made to the new node.

5. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan et al. (US Pat. 6,301,254).

Regarding claim 12, Chan discloses an Intra-Ring Communications (IRC) protocol that includes the function of deleting a node from the ring and communicating ring failure status to the other nodes in the ring (col. 8, line 49-55). The Look-Up Tables (LUTs) in each node are updated so that previously configure virtual paths (VPs) are eliminated if destined for a deleted node (col. 9, lines 16-19). Chan fails to expressly disclose tearing down virtual circuit connections. However, Chan does discloses maintaining Virtual Circuit Identifier (VCI) tables for maintaining virtual circuit information at each node (see Figure 4). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to tear down or eliminate virtual circuit connections directed to a failed node. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because virtual connections destined to a deleted node would no longer have a path through which to travel.

6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan et al. (US Pat. 6,301,254), as applied to claim 12, and in further view of Higgins et al. (US Pat. 6,370,146).

Regarding claim 13, the teaching provided by Chan in the previous rejection of

Application/Control Numer: 09/501,202

Art Unit: 2697

claim 12 provides for any node in the ring to detect a failure (col. 9, line 52- col. 10, line 9). Chan also provides all the other limitations of claim 13, except that a hub node determines the node failure and controls the tearing down of virtual circuits and virtual paths. Higgins provides a ring system with a host (4) that may be implemented within a node (col. 6, lines 24-30). The host controls the overall operation of the system and communicates with the nodes to direct call processing functions such as making connections (col. 6, lines 14-23). In this way, the host functions like the ring hub node of the present invention. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art for the host to determine the failure and to perform the tearing down of virtual circuits and virtual path connections. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because the host would have all of the necessary information to perform the tearing down of connections without having to retrieve this information from other nodes.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Herrmann et al. (US Pat. 6,278,690) Local Area Network for Reconfiguration in the Event of Line Ruptures or Node Failures
 - Cedrone et al. (US Pat. 6,538,987) Rapid Ring Protection Switching System
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication, or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Volper whose telephone number is 703-305-8405

Application/Control Nu. Jer: 09/501,202

Art Unit: 2697

and fax number is 703-746-9467. The examiner can normally be reached between 8:30am and 6:00pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached at 703-308-6602. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

tev

July 14, 2003

HUY D. W

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000